



\$~75

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 95/2022**

DR. VIKRAM SAMPATH

..... Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Mukesh Sharma with Mr. Raghav
Awasthi, Advocates.

versus

DR. AUDREY TRUSCHKE & ORS.

..... Defendants

Through: Mr. Jawahar Raja and Mr. Archit
Krishna, Advocates for D-2.

Ms. Vrinda Grover, Mr. Soutik
Banerjee, Ms. Devika Tulsiani and
Ms. Mannat Tipnis, Advocates for
D-3.

Mr. Sajan Poovayya, Sr. Advocate
with Mr. Saransh Jain, Mr. Shloka
Narayanan, Mr. Shaurya R. Rai, Mr.
Raksha Aggarwal and Mr. G. Arudhra
Rao, Advocates for D-4.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

%

27.04.2022

I.A. 6452/2022 (for Video Conferencing)

1. The present application has been filed by the counsel for the plaintiff seeking permission to argue on the accompanying application, being I.A No. 6451/2022 under Order XXXIX Rules 1 and 2 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (CPC), through the video conferencing mode.
2. For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed.
3. Accordingly, the counsel for the plaintiff has duly appeared through



video conferencing to make submissions in respect of I.A. No. 6451/2022.

I.A. 6451/2022 (u/O-XXXIX R-2A of CPC)

4. Counsel for the applicant/plaintiff seeks liberty to withdraw the present application to file an appropriate application under the provisions of Order XXXIX Rules 1 and 2 of the CPC.
5. The aforesaid liberty is granted.
6. The application stands disposed of.

AMIT BANSAL, J

APRIL 27, 2022

Sakshi R.