



\$~18

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(OS) 95/2022, I.A. 2757/2022, I.A. 2758/2022, I.A. 3125/2022,
I.A. 3591/2022, I.A. 6772/2022, I.A. 16448/2022, I.A. 16449/2022,
I.A. 16450/2022, I.A. 15653/2023 & I.A. 15655/2023

DR. VIKRAM SAMPATH

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Raghav Awasthi, Mr. Mukesh
Sharma, Mr. Dinesh Sharma and Mr.
Rajat Chauhan, Advocates.

versus

DR. AUDREY TRUSCHKE & ORS.

.....Defendants

Through: Ms. Vrinda Grover, Mr. Prasanna S.,
Mr. Soutik Banerjee, Ms. Devika
Tulsiani, Ms. Injila Muslim Zaidi and
Mr. Prasanna B., Advocates for D-3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

06.08.2025

%

1. Ms. Vrinda Grover, counsel appearing on behalf of the defendant no.3 submits that the defendant no.3 was only a co-author of the letter dated 11th February, 2022 that was sent by the defendants no.1 to 3 to the Royal Historical Society in London. Hence, she submits that there has been no defamatory publication on behalf of the defendant no.3.

2. Counsel for the defendant no.3 further submits that in any case, after the aforesaid letter was written, there has been no further communication of any such letter sent by the defendant no.3.



3. In view of the above, Mr. Raghav Awasthi, counsel appearing on behalf of the plaintiff seeks time to take instructions, whether the plaintiff wishes to pursue the present suit *qua* the defendants no.2 and 3.
4. List on 3rd September, 2025.

AMIT BANSAL, J

AUGUST 6, 2025

Rzu