



\$~42

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.REV.P. 59/2019**

SMT. TAHIRA NAAZ

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Rakshit Rathi, Advocate.**

versus

STATE & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: **Ms. Meenakshi Dahiya, APP for the State with Ms. Vanshika Singh and Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, Advocates Mohd. Akram and Mr. Ammar Akhtar, Advocates for R-2, R-5 & R-6.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

24.03.2026

%

1. Since learned counsel for the petitioner seeks, and is granted, a period of *two weeks* to ascertain if an application seeking condonation of delay was filed alongwith the criminal appeal bearing no. CA No.14/18 wherefrom the impugned judgment 27.10.2018 arises, passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-03, North East, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi, as such, renotify on 12.08.2026.
2. Learned counsel for respondent nos.2, 5 & 6 submits that the respondent nos.3 and 4, the old parents of respondent no.2 have expired. As such, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks, and is granted, a period of *two weeks* for filing amended memo of parties.
3. In the meanwhile, let the e-TCR of the appeal bearing no. CA



No.14/18 be requisitioned within a period of *four weeks*.

4. Renotify on 12.08.2026

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

MARCH 24, 2026/NA