



\$~30

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 161/2012**

M/S H.QR.P. LIMITED & ORS

.....Plaintiff

Through: Ms. Rashika Chopra, Adv.

versus

M/S M.T.I LIMITED & ORS

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Nitesh Jain & Ms. Parul
Khurana, Advs.for D-1 to 4
(appeared through VC)
Mr. Bhupender Tyagi, Mr.
Ashish Gaur, Mr. Karan Chawla
& Mr. Surender Kumar Gaur,
ADvs. for D-6, 10, 11, 12, 20,
26, 27 & 28
Mr. Gaurav Tanwar, Adv. for D-
13 to 19 & 23 (appeared through
VC)

**CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. SUMIT
DALAL**

%

**ORDER
24.03.2026**

1. The matter is listed for Plaintiff's Evidence (PE).
2. A request for adjournment is made on behalf of the learned counsel for the plaintiff on the ground that PW-1 (plaintiff) is unwell.
3. The request is strongly opposed by the learned counsel for the opposite parties on the ground that issues were framed on 23.07.2024, and despite lapse of considerable time, the deposition of PW-1 has not been concluded. Attention is also drawn to the order dated



04.12.2025, wherein a similar adjournment was sought and granted on the same ground.

4. In response, learned counsel for the plaintiff submits that on the previous occasion PW-1 was suffering from a skin infection, whereas today PW-1 is unable to appear due to hip bone dislocation, and relies upon certain medical documents.
5. Heard. Perused. Considered.
6. In view of the submissions made, and taking a liberal view in the interest of justice, the request for adjournment is allowed for today.
7. However, it is made clear that this shall be the last and final opportunity for recording the deposition of PW-1. It is further made clear that any default on the next date of hearing on behalf of the plaintiff, resulting in wastage of the date, shall entail costs of not less than ₹20,000/-.
8. List for PE of PW-1 on 07.08.2026.

SUMIT DALAL, (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)
MARCH 24, 2026/nk