



\$~23

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 161/2012**

M/S H.QR.P. LIMITED & ORS

..... Plaintiff

Through: Ms. Rashika Chopra, Adv. For p-
1 & P-2 (appeared through VC)

versus

M/S M.T.I. LIMITED & ORS

..... Defendant

Through: Mr.Nitesh Jain & Ms. Dishti
Yadav, Advs. for D-1 to 4
Mr. Karan Chawla, Adv. for D-6,
10 to 12, 20 and 26 to 28
(appeared through VC)
Mr. Gaurav Tanwar, Adv. for D-
13 to 19 & 23.

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. MANISH
SHARMA, (DHJS)**

ORDER

20.08.2025

%

1. As per office note, evidence by way of affidavit of the witness and vakalantama filed on behalf of the plaintiff have been returned under objection.
2. Learned counsel for the plaintiff submits that evidence by way of affidavit could not be filed within time due to bulky record. She seeks time to remove the objections and file the same.
3. Learned counsel for plaintiff is directed to remove the objections and file evidence by way of affidavit within two weeks. Copy of the evidence affidavit be also



supplied to the opposite side.

4. Re-notify the matter for PE on **04th December, 2025.**

MANISH SHARMA, (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

AUGUST 20, 2025/nk