



\$~32

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 2285/2024

V.S. SUNDARARAMAN

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. S.Rajappa, Mr. R.Gowrishankar,
Advs.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJNISH BHATNAGAR

ORDER

%

16.02.2024

CM APPL. 9516/2024 -Ex.

1. Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

W.P.(C) 2285/2024 & CM APPL. 9515/2024 -Stay

3. The present writ petition under Articles 226 and 227 seeks to assail order dated 08.12.2023 passed in P.T. No. 128/2023. Vide the impugned order, the learned Central Administrative Tribunal has rejected the petitioner's transfer application by holding that the petitioner ought to have filed the OA either in Bangalore or Chennai Bench of the Tribunal.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the impugned order is wholly perverse as the learned Tribunal has failed to appreciate that the main relief that the petitioner is seeking is directed against



respondent no.1 who is based at Delhi as respondent no.2 is only implementing the orders passed by the respondent no.1.

5. Upon the petitioner taking steps, issue notice to the respondents through all permissible modes. Reply, if any, to the application be filed within four weeks. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within four weeks thereafter.
6. List on 04.07.2024.
7. Till the next date, the proceedings before the learned Tribunal in O.A. No. 332/2023 will remain stayed.

REKHA PALLI, J

RAJNISH BHATNAGAR, J

FEBRUARY 16, 2024

al