



\$~3

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

RFA(COMM) 56/2024

MR PURAN JOSHI (PRORIETOR OF SJ CARGO MOVERS)

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Utkarsh Joshi, Ms. Anjali Menon, Ms. Sudarshana Bandopadhyay, Ms. Kanishka Sharma, Mr. Vinay Thakur, Adv.

versus

DECCAN EDIBLES PVT LTDRespondent

Through: Mr. Varun Garg, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

19.01.2026

1. In the present case, the plaint filed by the Appellant (Plaintiff before the Commercial Court) has been rejected by the Commercial Court, while exercising the enabling powers under Order VII Rule 11 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, on the ground that the Appellant failed to disclose a cause of action.
2. A request for an adjournment has been made by the learned counsel representing the Respondent.
3. List for final disposal on 11.02.2026 in the Supplementary List.
4. It is clarified that no further request for an adjournment shall be entertained.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J.

JANUARY 19, 2026

jai/ra