



\$~54

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 270/2024**

MADAN LAL & ANR.

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Naman Gupta, Advocate with
Ms. Mansi Goyal, Adv with petitioner
no.2 in person.

versus

SUNIL KHURANA & ORS.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Navin Rawat, Advocates for
Respondent no. 3 (RBL Bank Ltd.)
Mr. Shivam Kumar, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER

%

14.05.2025

1. Counsel for petitioner and respondent nos. 1-2 are present *through* VC.
2. Counsel for respondent no.3/*RBL Bank Ltd.* is also present in Court.
3. Counsel for respondent nos. 1-2 seeks some time to file a response. They may do so within the next week with copies to the opposing side.
4. However, counsel for respondent nos. 1-2 states that the property has since been auctioned by RBL Bank Ltd. and therefore, they will not be obliged to pay an amount of *Rs. 2.55 crores* which has been agreed in the settlement considering that the RBL Bank has received the money through auction, which is refuted by counsel for RBL Bank Ltd., who seeks some



time to file the status report in relation to the settlement and the impact of the auction of the settlement.

5. Counsel for petitioner states that petitioner was however, the purchaser of the property sold by respondent nos. 1-2 and has no benefit of that property despite paying Rs. 1.87 crores to respondent nos. 1-2.

6. List on 09th October 2025.

7. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

MAY 14, 2025/RK/na

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)