



\$~55

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(CRL) 620/2026 & CRL.M.A. 5842/2026**
YUVRAJ KAUSHIKABEN BHAKTA AND ORS

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Amit Chadha, Sr. Advocate along
with Mr. Tarun Khanna, Mr. C.
Chandera Shekhar, Mr. Harjas Singh
and Mr. Dhruv Tomar, Advocates.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI AND ANR

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Amol Sinha, ASC for the State
with Mr. Kshitiz Garg, Mr. Ashvini
Kumar and Mr. Anshul Sharma,
Advocates.
SI Arvind Kumar.
Mr. Rohit Sharma, Advocate for R-2.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER

% **20.02.2026**

CRL.M.A. 5843/2026

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

W.P.(CRL) 620/2026

1. As per the contents of the present petition, on the basis of the complaint made by the petitioners, FIR No. 97/2024 was registered at P.S. Mandir Marg, which is still pending investigation.
2. The prime grievance of the petitioner is to the effect that, earlier, Mr. Ved Prakash i.e. husband of respondent No.2, had filed an application seeking registration of FIR against the petitioners. In said matter, *Action Taken Report*

W.P.(CRL) 620/2026

1



(ATR) had been sought, and the police had reported that no cognizable offence was made out and such application was, eventually, withdrawn by Mr. Ved Prakash.

3. However, subsequently his wife i.e. respondent No.2, lodged a complaint afresh before the concerned police station which resulted in registration of FIR No.0003/2026 at PS Mandir Marg and the present petition seeks quashing of such FIR No.0003/2026.

4. Learned APP for the State and learned counsel for respondent No.2 appear on advance notice.

5. Let a brief reply be filed by next date of hearing with advance copy to petitioner.

6. List on 24.03.2026 for further consideration.

MANOJ JAIN, J

FEBRUARY 20, 2026/ss/pb