



\$~7

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CRL.A. 991/2002

SANJAY

.....Appellant

Through: Ms. Manika Tripathy, Advocate from
DHCLSC.

versus

STATE

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Laksh Khanna, APP for the State
with SI Dipesh Malik, P.S. S.P.Badli.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH VAIDYANATHAN

SHANKAR

ORDER

%

02.05.2025

1. On 04.03.2025, since the Appellant was not present at the time of hearing of the appeal and the counsel appearing for the Appellant informed the Court that the Appellant was not in touch with the counsel, this Court issued bailable warrants in the sum of Rs.5,000/- and the matter was adjourned for today.
2. Today, the Appellant is present in person.
3. Learned APP for the State submits that the sentence of the Appellant was suspended without the condition of furnishing any surety bond *vide* order dated 06.04.2005. He prays that the said condition of furnishing surety bond be now imposed to secure the presence of the Appellant before the Court.
4. A perusal of the record reveals that the Appellant was not in a



position to furnish surety bonds in the year 2003 when he was granted bail vide order dated 21.07.2003.

5. In view of the same, this Court is not inclined to impose the condition of furnishing surety bond as sought by learned APP for the State at this juncture.

6. List the appeal for hearing on 03.09.2025.

7. It is made clear that if the Appellant is not present in person on the next date of hearing, his suspension of sentence shall stand cancelled.

SUBRAMONIUM PRASAD, J

HARISH VAIDYANATHAN SHANKAR, J

MAY 2, 2025

AK