



\$~80

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CONT.CAS(C) 221/2025 & CM APPL. 8614-8616/2025**
F SALON ACADEMY LLPPetitioner

Through: Mr. Sanjay Srivastava with Ms. Shubhi Srivastava, Mr. Prince Kumar and Ms. Garima Singh, Advocates.

versus

MICHAEL ADAM LISOWSKI AND ORS

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Raghav Khanna, Advocate for R-1 and 2.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER

% **13.02.2025**

1. Learned counsel for respondent Nos. 1 and 2 appears on advance notice and accepts notice.
2. He also submits that certain information was demanded by petitioner by sending them e-mails but those e-mails have not been even responded by the petitioner and, therefore, it cannot be said that they are in contempt.
3. Said assertion is refuted by the learned counsel for petitioner.
4. None appears on behalf of respondent No.3.
5. Issue notice to respondent No.3 through all permissible modes as also through counsel, returnable on 28.07.2025.
6. Let response affidavit be filed by respondent Nos. 1 and 2 within four weeks with advance copy to opposite counsel.

MANOJ JAIN, J

FEBRUARY 13, 2025/sw