



\$~12

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **FAO 53/2009, CM APPL. 22760/2019**

SHRI SURINDER SINGH

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Varun Goswami, Mr. Rajesh Singh, Maryam Singh, Mr. Maryam Junaid, Ms. Dakshita Sharma and Ms. Ritagya Singh, Advocates.

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Pratyush Sharma, Advocate (DHCLSC) and Mr. Binish Kumar, Advocates for respondent nos. 9(d)
Mr. Rajesh Dabas, Advocate for LRs of respondent nos. 9(a) and 9(e)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

25.03.2026

%

1. On the last date of hearing, Court notice was directed to be issued to respondent nos. 9(b) and (c). As per the office report, Court notice was issued only through the ordinary mode. It is stated that while respondent no. 9(b) is reported to have been served, notice to respondent no. 9(c) has remained unserved.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the appellant submits that a further effort shall be made to serve both the respondents by effecting *dasti* service upon them, and an affidavit of service shall be placed on record in this regard. Let the same be done before the next date of hearing.



3. Renotify on 10.07.2026.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

MARCH 25, 2026/rd