



\$~9

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ FAO 53/2009

SHRI SURINDER SINGH

..... Appellant

Through: None.

versus

THE STATE OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Rajesh Dabas, Adv. for R-9.

CORAM:

JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) BHAWANI SHARMA

ORDER

% **09.05.2024**

CM APPL. 14687/2024 (filed by appellant seeking exemption from the necessity of substituting the LR's of R-4 & 6)

Application is pending for service of R-9 and 10.

As per Office note, PF has not been filed pursuant to order 12.03.2024.

Perusal of the order sheets dated 03.03.2023 and 10.07.2023 reflect that R-2, 4, 6 and 7 have been expired.

In terms of order dated 20.07.2022, LR's of R-7 were taken on record and in terms of order dated 06.10.2022, R-2 was represented through her legal heirs being R-3 to 10, who is the mother of R-9.

As per office noting dated 09.05.2024, R-3 has been duly served through her daughter in law.

As observed in order dated 28.11.2019, R-1, 4, 5, 8, and 10 have been duly served.

Learned counsel for R-9 enters appearance and submits that vakalatnama has already been filed.



Vide order dated 27.02.2024 learned counsel for appellant had withdrawn the application for seeking exemption from substituting the LR's of deceased R-4 and 6 on the ground that application has wrongly been filed and the same needs to be filed before the Hon'ble Court.

There is no appearance on behalf of the appellant.

Re-notify on 09.08.2024.

**BHAWANI SHARMA
JOINT REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)**

MAY 9, 2024/yo