



\$~7

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI
+ CS(OS) 83/2025
PEUSH JAIN

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Anirudh Bhatia & Mr. Rohan
Talwar, Advocates.

versus

COLLIERS INTERNATIONAL INDIA PROPERTY SERVICES
PVT. LTD. & ORS.

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Shree Sinhga, Mr. Shivam Tiwari
& Mr. Aviral Singhal, Advocates.

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. SUMIT DALAL, (DHJS)

ORDER
19.03.2026

%

**IA No. 3632/2025(APPLICATION ON BEHALF OF THE
PLAINTIFF UNDER ORDER XXXIX RULES 1 & 2 READ WITH
SECTION 151 OF THE CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, 1908
SEEKING AD INTERIM RELIEF OF DEPOSIT AGAINST THE
DEFENDANTS)**

**IA No. 3633/2025(APPLICATION ON BEHALF OF THE
PLAINTIFF UNDER ORDER XI RULES 2 AND 14 READ WITH
SECTION 151 OF THE CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, 1908
READ WITH CHAPTER IV, DELHI HIGH COURT (ORIGINAL
SIDE) RULES, 2018 SEEKING INTERROGATORIES FROM
DEFENDANT NO. 1)**



1. Learned counsel for the plaintiff submits that it has been inadvertently recorded in the order dated 19.12.2025 that pleadings are to be completed, whereas in fact, pleadings are already complete.

IA No. 15626/2025 (APPLICATION ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT NO. 1 UNDER ORDER VII, RULE 10 READ WITH SECTION 151 OF THE CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, 1908 SEEKING RETURN OF THE PLAINT)

2. As per office note, reply filed on behalf of the plaintiff has been returned under objections. Upon enquiry, learned counsel for the plaintiff submits that the objections pertain to delay in filing and non-service of advance copy.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant (Defendant No. 1) submits that the copy of the reply has been received only yesterday and that he has no objection if the delay in filing the reply is condoned.
4. In view of the no objection given, delay, if any, in filing the reply is condoned. It is clarified that if the objections were only with respect to delay in filing or advance service, no such objections survive. Accordingly, the reply is taken on record.
5. Let rejoinder, if any, be filed within four weeks from today.

IA No. 16034/2025 (APPLICATION ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS NO. 2, 4 AND 5 UNDER ORDER I, RULE 10 READ WITH SECTION 151 OF THE CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, 1908 FOR DELETION OF THE NAMES OF DEFENDANTS NO. 2, 4 AND 5 FROM THE ARRAY OF PARTIES IN THE CAPTIONED SUIT)



6. As per office note, rejoinder filed on behalf of Defendant Nos. 2, 4 and 5 has been returned under objection. Learned counsel for the said defendants submits that the objection is with respect to delay in filing. Learned counsel for the plaintiff has no objection to condonation of delay.
7. Accordingly, the delay in filing the rejoinder is condoned. If the objection was only with respect to delay, no such objection survives. The rejoinder is taken on record.

IA No. 17440/2025 (APPLICATION ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT NO.3 UNDER ORDER I, RULE 10 READ WITH SECTION 151 OF THE CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, 1908 FOR DELETION OF THE NAME OF DEFENDANT NO.3 FROM THE ARRAY OF PARTIES IN THE CAPTIONED SUIT)

8. As per office note, no rejoinder has been filed till date. Learned counsel for Defendant No. 3 submits that the rejoinder has been filed yesterday with delay and seeks condonation of delay, which is not opposed.
9. Accordingly, the delay in filing the rejoinder is condoned. Learned counsel for Defendant No. 3 is directed to coordinate with the Registry and ensure that the same is placed on record.

CS(OS) 83/2025

10. It is jointly submitted by learned counsel for the parties that pleadings are complete. It is further submitted that Defendant Nos. 1, 4 and 5 have filed their written statement, which has been adopted by Defendant Nos. 2 and 3.
11. Let the Joint Document Schedule along with hard copies of documents be filed as per rules at least three weeks prior to the next date of



hearing.

12. List for admission/denial of documents and for arguments in IA No. 16034/2025 and IA No. 17440/2025 on 07.08.2026.

**SUMIT DALAL, (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

MARCH 19, 2026/da