



\$~17(1)

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 125/2022**

SUDHIR ANIL KUMAR THROUGH HIS ATTORNEY MRS
RANJU AGGARWAL Petitioner

Through: Mr. Dhruv Chawla, Adv.

versus

SH SATINDER SINGH BHASIN
MONTU BHASIN & ORS. Respondents

Through: Mr. Ravi Krishan Chandana, Mr.
Mudit Ruhella and Mr. Aman Dahiya,
Advts.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAJMI WAZIRI

ORDER

% **25.02.2022**

The hearing was conducted through video conferencing.

1. On 26.02.2021, this court had directed as under:-

“1. The learned counsel appearing for the respondents states that the respondents have agreed to execute the Conveyance Deed in respect of a commercial flat in favour of the petitioner. He states that due to the outbreak of Covid-19, it was difficult to take an appointment at the office of the concerned Sub-Registrar for registration of the Conveyance Deed. He undertakes, on behalf of the respondents, that the respondents will secure an appointment at the office of the concerned Sub-Registrar for registration of the necessary documents within a period of three weeks from today. The respondents would communicate the same to the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner well in time to enable the petitioner to make arrangements to be present either in person or through a Power of Attorney before the office of the concerned Sub-Registrar on that concerned date.

2. The respondent is bound down to the said statement.

3. In view of the above, the learned counsel for the petitioner



seeks to withdraw the present petition with liberty to revive the same if the disputes are not satisfactorily addressed.

4. The petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.”

2. In the first instance, the respondents were to secure an appointment at the office of the Sub-Registrar for registration of necessary documents within three weeks, the same has not been done. There has been no communication to the petitioner, as to why, the said directions and undertaking were not complied with, constraining the petitioner to file this contempt petition, for which he has expended the monies. In so far as there is non-compliance, in terms of the specific directions and undertaking, the court is *prima facie* of the view that the respondents have committed contempt of court.
3. Issue notice to the respondents to show cause as to why contempt proceedings be not initiated against them under sections 2(b), 10 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971. Notice is accepted by the learned counsel named above for the respondents. Compliance affidavit/reply be filed in three weeks. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed before the next date.
4. The petitioner seeks the imposition of exemplary costs.
5. Renotify on 20.05.2022.
6. The order be uploaded on the website forthwith.

NAJMI WAZIRI, J

FEBRUARY 25, 2022/dss