



\$~C-1

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CO.PET. 536/1998**

**M/S INDIAN TONERS & DEVELOPERS LTD. .... Petitioner**

Through: **Ms. Hemlata Rawat, Advocate for  
OL.**

**versus**

**M/S SAVITRI INDIA LTD.**

**..... Respondent**

Through: **Mr. Rakesh Makhija with Mr. Ananya  
Singhal, Advocates for R-2.**

**CORAM:**

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. SAVITRI (DHJS)**

**ORDER**

**% 18.08.2022**

1. The matter is fixed for cross-examination of witness Mr. Ravi Kumar Metta. He has been partly cross-examined today.
2. The learned counsel for non-applicant, who is cross-examining the witness, has asked the witness to produce the documents as mentioned in the earlier cross-examinations. The witness has not produced the documents and the learned counsel for the applicant i.e. the witness submits that the witness is not obliged to bring any documents on the asking of the learned counsel for non-applicant unless there was a Court direction to that effect. Further, Since the Court had not directed the witness to produce the documents mentioned in the cross-examination, the learned counsel must move an appropriate application. Only after the said application is allowed, can the documents be produced by the witness.



3. The learned counsel for non-applicant has opposed this preposition stating that the law requires that if any document relevant to the cross-examination is asked from the witness, the witness has a duty to produce the same, if it is available in his/her possession.
4. In this view, it is jointly requested that the matter be placed before the Hon'ble Court.
5. There is a date of hearing already fixed before the Hon'ble Court.

**SAVITRI  
JOINT REGISTRAR  
(JUDICIAL)**

**AUGUST 18, 2022/yo**