



\$~O-58

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ TEST.CAS. 65/2010, I.A. 3139/2022, I.A. 12210/2022, I.A. 14513/2022, I.A. 15210/2022, I.A. 18576/2023, I.A. 5441/2024 and I.A. 4886/2025

VINAY KHANNA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mrs. Mala Goel and Mr. Parvinder,
Advs.

versus

STATE & ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Pavitra Kaur and Mr. Shiven
Asthana, Advs. for R-1
Mr. Sandeep Agarwal, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. Rajesh Pathak, Ms. Tanya
Chanda and Mr. Akshay Pratap
Singh, Advs. for R-3

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

% **25.03.2025**

I.A. 3140/2022 and I.A. 14514/2022 (Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The applications stand disposed of.

I.A. 11818/2022 (under Order XXVI Rule 2 and 4 read with Section 151 CPC, 1908 by respondent No.3)

3. At this stage, in view of the facts and circumstances of this case, the Court deems it appropriate to dispose of the instant application.
4. Ordered accordingly.



I.A. 18577/2023 and I.A. 21355/2023 (under Section 151 CPC, 1908 for directions by respondent No.3)

5. The instant applications have been rendered infructuous.
6. Accordingly, the instant applications stand disposed of as being infructuous.

I.A. 4886/2025 (under Sections 154 and 155 of the Indian Evidence Act read with Section 151 CPC)

7. Let the plaintiff to file a reply to the instant application.
8. List on 03.04.2025.

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J

MARCH 25, 2025/DPA/SP

Click here to check corrigendum, if any