



\$~28

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 920/2023 & CRL.M.A. 3539/2023**

SUMAN GAMBHIR

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Gautam Khazanchi and Mr. Anuj Aggarwal, Advocates.

versus

STATE OF RAJASTHAN

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. B.S. Rajesh Agrajit, Advocate for respondent No.1.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

% **09.04.2024**

1. None appears for respondent No.2.
2. During the course of proceedings, learned counsel for the petitioner states that in the present case, the concerned investigating agency had initially filed a closure report however on a protest petition being filed by respondent No.2, the charges have been framed.
3. Considering the aforesaid, the court vide order dated 21.02.2023 directed the impleadment of respondent No.2.
4. A perusal of the proceedings would show that though the AR of the respondent No.2/complainant had appeared, however there is no representation today. Further, no reply has been placed on record.
5. In view of the above, issue Court notice (without process fees) to complainant/respondent No.2, returnable on 19.09.2024.
6. Interim orders to continue.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

APRIL 9, 2024/rd