



\$~34

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.M.C. 920/2023 & CRL.M.A. 3539/2023 (Stay)**

SUMAN GAMBHIR

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Siddharth Aggarwal, Sr.
Advocate, Mr. Gautam Khazanchi,
Mr. Kumar Vaibhav, Mr. Anuj
Aggarwal, Mr. Vinayak Chawla &
Mr. Mohd. Ashaab, Advocates.

versus

STATE OF RAJASTHAN

..... Respondent

Through: None.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER
09.02.2023

%

CRL.M.A. 3540/2023 (Exemption)

Exemption allowed, subject to just exceptions.

The application is disposed of accordingly.

CRL.M.C. 920/2023 & CRL.M.A. 3539/2023 (Stay)

The present petition under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. seeks quashing of order on charge dated 14.12.2022 and formal charge dated 03.01.2023, framed by Sh. Devanshu Sajlani, learned Metropolitan Magistrate-07, West District, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi in CC No. 66026/2016, titled 'State v. B.K. Malhotra & Anr.', in case FIR No. 190/1998, registered at P.S. Navalgarh, whereby the learned trial Court has framed charges *qua* the



present petitioner under Section 408/34 and Section 467 of the IPC.

Learned Senior Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the essence of the charge framed *qua* the present petitioner is with respect to alleged forged minutes dated 28.11.1997. However, the factual position is that these forged minutes were never signed and the document was only a draft. It is further submitted that on account of these minutes nothing transpired nor any authority was granted to any person to sell the land in question in Goa.

Issue notice.

On petitioner taking necessary steps, issue notice to respondent by all permissible modes, including electronic mail, if any, returnable on 21.02.2023.

In the meantime, the trial *qua* the present petitioner shall remain stayed till the next date of hearing.

Order be uploaded on the website of this Court *forthwith*.

AMIT SHARMA, J

FEBRUARY 9, 2023/bsr