



2026:DHC:411

\$~31

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 84/2025 & I.A. 2537/2025**

ALKEM LABORATORIES LIMITED

.....Plaintiff

Through: Ms. Tusha Malhotra, Ms. Tanvi
Bhatnagar, Advocates
(M:9999748836)

versus

PREVEGO HEALTHCARE AND RESEARCH PVT. LTD.

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Siddharth Praveen Acharya, Mr.
Lakshay Sharma, Mr. Shikhar
Bhardwaj, Mr. Bhuvnesh Vyas,
Advocates (M:8080989023)
Email: advsiddharthacharya@gmail.com

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MINI PUSHKARNA

ORDER

% **17.02.2025**

1. Learned counsel for the defendant has put in appearance.
2. It is to be noted that in the last order, this Court has recorded the submission of learned counsel appearing for the plaintiff, that the plaintiff has no objection to use of the mark 'MULTIVEIN' by the defendant.
3. This Court is of the view that if the defendant markets its products only with the mark 'MULTIVEIN', and gives a statement in that regard, the suit can be closed at this stage.
4. Learned counsel for the plaintiff submits that the plaintiff shall give up its prayer for costs and damages if the said statement is made by learned counsel for the defendant.
5. Accordingly, if such statement, as aforesaid, is made by the



2026:DHC:411

defendant, this Court shall consider allowing the defendant to exhaust the already existing stock with it.

6. Accordingly, let statement of stocks be filed by the defendant, showing the 'Date of Manufacture' and 'Batch Number' of the products manufactured by it, subject matter of the present suit.

7. Since injunction has been granted against the defendant on 30th January, 2025, and notice has been received by the defendants on 11th February, 2025, this Court shall consider allowing the defendant to exhaust the existing stock, with Batch Number and Date of Manufacture till 11th February, 2025, subject to filing of the inventory before this Court, which shall be considered on the next date.

8. Let the needful be done within a period of ten days.

9. At this stage, learned counsel for the plaintiff submits that the plaintiff had served a notice on the defendant on 27th December, 2024 and any manufacturing carried out by the defendant after 27th December, 2024, shall be prejudicial to the plaintiff.

10. This aspect shall be considered on the next date of hearing, after perusing the details of stock filed by the defendant.

11. Re-notify on 06th March, 2025.

12. Interim order to continue.

MINI PUSHKARNA, J

FEBRUARY 17, 2025

au