



\$~81

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(CRL) 368/2026**

MC

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Akanksha Sisodia and Ms.
Holyrose Khangrah, Advocates.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHI THROUGH SHO & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Lao, Standing Counsel
(Crl.) for the State with Mr. Abhinav
Arya and Mr. Aryan Sachdeva,
Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

03.02.2026

%

By way of the present petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner *inter-alia* seeks a direction to respondent No.1 to add certain offences under the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023 ('BNSS') in FIR No.24/2026 dated 11.01.2026 lodged at their instance at P.S.: Sarita Vihar, Delhi.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits, that as evident from the transcript of a video-recording, copy of which is being appended to the petition, as well as from the MLC of the petitioner's son, the incident discloses offences which are beyond those mentioned in the FIR.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits, that since the respondents Nos.2 and 3 are residents of the same society, and are known to the



petitioner, the petitioner fears threat to her life and limb and also to her family at the hands of respondents Nos.2 and 3.

4. Issue notice.
5. Mr. Sanjay Lao, Standing Counsel (Criminal) appears for the State on advance copy; accepts notice; seeks time to file status report; and submits that the Investigating Officer ('I.O.') is open to recording additional statements of the petitioner and other concerned persons, and if relevant evidence is placed before him, he would consider whether other offences are also made-out based on such material; and would take appropriate action.
6. In view of the above, the petitioner is directed to furnish to the I.O. the additional material available with him and also give the requisite statement, to enable the I.O. to proceed further with the matter.
7. In particular, the State is directed to give a specific response as to whether the CCTV footage referred-to in prayer 3 of the petition is available with them in terms as directed by the Supreme Court in ***Paramvir Singh Saini vs. Baljit Singh and Others***¹; and to keep such footage available for the viewing of this court on the next date.
8. Upon the petitioner taking requisite steps, let notice be sent to respondents Nos.2 and 3 by all permissible modes, returnable for the next date.
9. Let status report/reply be filed within 06 weeks; response/rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within 04 weeks thereafter; with copies to the opposing counsel.

¹ (2021) 1 SCC 184



10. In the circumstances explained, the State is directed to furnish to the petitioner the cellphone number of the SHO of the concerned police station and the cellphone of the Beat Constable, who the petitioner may call in any eventuality.
11. Re-notify on 24th March 2026.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

FEBRUARY 3, 2026/ak