



\$~23

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

W.P.(C) 1171/2022

KAUSHALYA DEVI

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. U. Srivastava, Advocate.

versus

DELHI TRANSPORT CORPORATION AND ANR Respondents

Through: Mrs. Avnish Ahlawat, Standing
counsel with Mr. Nitesh Kumar Singh
and Mr. Siddhant Tyagi, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV SHAKDHER

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TALWANT SINGH

ORDER

%

23.02.2022

[Court hearing convened via video-conferencing on account of COVID-19]

1. Mr U. Srivastava, who appears on behalf of the petitioner, says that the first time when the petitioner made a representation *qua* the respondents'/DTC's 1992 pension scheme for grant of family pension was, in 1997.

1.1. Upon being queried as to why the petitioner did not take recourse to an appropriate remedy immediately thereafter, Mr Srivastava says that the representation made, was not formally rejected.

1.2. Furthermore, Mr Srivastava says that an application for condonation of delay was filed before the Central Administrative Tribunal [in short "the Tribunal"], which was allowed. To be noted, the order said to have passed by the Tribunal in the application for condonation of delay has not been placed on record.

2. Mr Srivastava will place on record not only the aforementioned order passed by the Tribunal but also the application in which the said order was

W.P.(C) 1171/2022

page 1 of 2



passed.

2.1. Let the needful be done before the next date of hearing.

3. List the matter on 28.07.2022.

RAJIV SHAKDHER, J

TALWANT SINGH, J

FEBRUARY 23, 2022/mr

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)

W.P.(C) 1171/2022

page 2 of 2