



\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 3078/2022, CM APPL. 8919/2022**

RAJPAL & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: **Mr. Rajesh Gupta, Advocate.**

Versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: **Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak, Standing Counsel with Mr. Sunil Kumar Jha & Mr. M.S. Akhtar, Advocates for Respondent Nos.4 & 5/Land And Building Department and Land Acquisition Collector respectively. Mr Nitin Misha, Advocate for DDA.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJAS KARIA

ORDER

%

05.03.2025

1. The learned counsel appearing for Delhi Development Authority seeks further time to produce the records of the case in Civil Appeal No.24534/2023 captioned ***Delhi Development Authority v. Monika Shukla and Ors.*** to establish that the expression “lands in question” as referred to in the said decision includes the subject land.

2. It is contended on behalf of the petitioners that almost two years have lapsed since the decision in the case of ***Delhi Development Authority v. Monika Shukla and Ors.*** (*supra*) rendered and the possession of the said land has not been taken. Thus, it cannot be considered that the LAC/DDA is



in deemed possession of the subject land.

3. List on 20.03.2025.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

TEJAS KARIA, J

MARCH 5, 2025

RK

Click here to check corrigendum, if any