



\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **LA.APP. 37/2022 & CM APP2650/2022**

NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION Appellant

Through: Ms. Garima Jindal, Advocate for
Ms. Namrata Mukim, Standing Counsel

versus

SHIV KUMAR & ORS. Respondent

Through: Mr. Vaibhav Kr. Rana, Advocate for
respondent Nos.1 and 2

Mr. Sumit Rajput, Advocate for
respondent Nos.3 to 10 and 21

Mr. J.K. Jain, Advocate (through VC)
for respondent Nos. 11 to 20, 22 to
42, 44 to 46

Ms. Jyoti Tyagi and Ms. Manisha,
Advocates for Mr. Yeeshu Jain,
Advocate and Ms. Rini Violet Tigga,
proxy counsel for Mr. Sanjay Kr.
Pathak, Advocate for respondent
No.47-UOI

None for R-43.

CORAM: MS. KAUSHLYA VERMA, REGISTRAR

ORDER

% 16.11.2022

Service is complete.

Let memo of appearance on behalf of respondent No.47 be filed
within two weeks.

Vide order dated 19.04.2022, the appellant was directed to
deposit the remaining 25 per cent of the decretal amount within ten

Page 1 of 2



weeks from the date of previous order dated 14.01.2022. However, the order has not been complied with.

Re-notify on 14.02.2023.

CM APPL.24222/2022 (u/O XXXXI Rule 22 CPC by respondent Nos.11 to 20, 22 to 42, 44 to 46)

Reply and rejoinder, if any, be filed before the next date of hearing.

Re-notify on 14.02.2023.

REGISTRAR

NOVEMBER 16, 2022

vk

Page 2 of 2