



\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ LA.APP. 37/2022, CM APPL.2650/2022

NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION

..... Appellant

Through: Ms. Garima Jindal, Advocate for
Ms. Namrata Mukim, Standing Counsel
versus

SHIV KUMAR & ORS.

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Manmohan Gupta, Advocate for
R-1 and 2
Mr. J.K. Jain, Advocate for R-11 to 16,
18 to 20, 22 to 42, 44 and 45
Mr. Rahul Kumar, proxy counsel for
Mr. Yeeshu Jain, Advocate for R-3
Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak with
Mr. Sunil Kr. Jha, Advocates for
R-47/UOI

CORAM: SH. SANJAY KUMAR SHARMA, REGISTRAR

ORDER

% **02.05.2022**

Today's Court proceedings are conducted through physical/hybrid mode.

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that she has deposited the 25% decretal amount and the remaining decretal amount would be deposited in terms of order dated 19.04.2022.

Vakalatnama stands filed on behalf of respondent Nos.3 to 10, 11 to 20, 22 to 42 and 44 to 46. Let vakalatnama on behalf of the other served respondents be filed within two weeks.

Page 1 of 2



As per office report, respondent No.43 remains unserved.

On appellant's taking steps within a week, issue notice to respondent No.43, by all permissible modes, returnable on 01.08.2022.

REGISTRAR

MAY 2, 2022

vk

Page 2 of 2