



\$~60 to 66

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ LA.APP. 37/2022 & CM APPL. 2650/2022, CM APPL. 24222/2022,
CM APPL. 7591/2023

NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION Appellant

Through: Ms. Garima Jindal, Advocate for Ms.
Namrata Mukim, Standing Counsel
for MCD.

versus

SHIV KUMAR & ORS. Respondents

Through: Mr. Vaibhav Kumar Rana, Advocate
for R-1 and R-2.
Mr. Ankit Jain, Mr. J.K. Jain, Mr.
Aditya Chauhan, Advocates for R-11
to 20, 22 to 42, 44 to 46.
Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak, Mr. Sunil
Kumar Jha, Mr. M.S. Akhtar and Mr.
Reini Violet Tigga, Advocates for R-
47/ UOI.
Mr. Yeeshu Jain, Standing Counsel
with Ms. Jyoti Tyagi and Ms.
Manisha, Advocates.
Mr. Sumit Rajput, Advocate.

61

+ LA.APP. 41/2022 & CM APPL. 3426/2022, CM APPL. 7589/2023

NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION Appellant

Through: Ms. Garima Jindal, Advocate for Ms.
Namrata Mukim, Standing Counsel
for MCD.

versus

SH. RAJINDER SINGH & ORS. Respondents



Through: Mr. Saket Chandra and Mr. Pranav
Giri, Advocates for R-1 and R-2.
Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak, Mr. Sunil
Kumar Jha, Mr. M.S. Akhtar and Mr.
Reini Violet Tigga, Advocates for R-
3/ UOI.
Mr. Ankit Jain, Mr. J.K. Jain and Mr.
Aditya V.S. Chauhan, Advocates for
R-44.

62

+ LA.APP. 42/2022 & CM APPL. 3431/2022, CM APPL. 23786/2022,
CM APPL. 7588/2023

NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION Appellant

Through: Ms. Garima Jindal, Advocate for Ms.
Namrata Mukim, Standing Counsel
for MCD.

versus

MAM CHAND & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjay Kumar
Pathak, Mr. Sunil Kumar Jha, Mr.
M.S. Akhtar and Mr. Reini Violet
Tigga, Advocates for R-45/ UOI.

63

+ LA.APP. 43/2022 & CM APPL. 3434/2022, CM APPL. 24027/2022,
CM APPL. 7587/2023

NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION Appellant

Through: Ms. Garima Jindal, Advocate for Ms.
Namrata Mukim, Standing Counsel
for MCD.

versus

SH.MAHAVEER SINGH & ORS.

..... Respondents



Through: Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak, Mr. Sunil Kumar Jha, Mr. M.S. Akhtar and Mr. Reini Violet Tigga, Advocates for R-47/UOI.
Mr. Sumit Rajput, Advocate.
Mr. Ankit Jain, Mr. J.K. Jain and Mr. Aditya V.S. Chauhan, Advocates for R-5, 6, 19 to 40 and 44 to 46.

64

+

LA.APP. 44/2022 & CM APPL. 3439/2022, CM APPL. 23791/2022,
CM APPL. 7586/2023

NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION Appellant

Through: Ms. Garima Jindal, Advocate for Ms. Namrata Mukim, Standing Counsel for MCD.

versus

OM PRAKASH & ORS. Respondents

Through: Mr. Ankit Jain, Mr. J.K. Jain and Mr. Aditya V.S. Chauhan, Advocates for R-1 to 45.
Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak, Mr. Sunil Kumar Jha, Mr. M.S. Akhtar and Mr. Reini Violet Tigga, Advocates for R-46/ UOI.

65

+

LA.APP. 45/2022 & CM APPL. 3460/2022, CM APPL. 23788/2022,
CM APPL. 7585/2023

NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION Appellant

Through: Ms. Garima Jindal, Advocate for Ms. Namrata Mukim, Standing Counsel for MCD.

versus



SH. HARBANS SINGH & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Sumit Rajput, Advocate.
Mr. Ankit Jain, Mr. J.K. Jain and Mr.
Aditya V.S. Chauhan, Advocates for
R-4, 7, 9 to 38.
Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak, Mr. Sunil
Kumar Jha, Mr. M.S. Akhtar and Mr.
Reini Violet Tigga, Advocates for R-
39/ UOI.

66

+ LA.APP. 46/2022 & CM APPL. 3463/2022, CM APPL. 23787/2022,
CM APPL. 41023/2022, CM APPL. 7584/2023

NORTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION Appellant

Through: Ms. Garima Jindal, Advocate for Ms.
Namrata Mukim, Standing Counsel
for MCD.

versus

SH. SHYAM SUNDER & ORS.

..... Respondents

Through: Mr. Ankit Jain, Mr. J.K. Jain and Mr.
Aditya V.S. Chauhan, Advocates for
R-1 to 18, 20 to 32, 36 to 43, 45 & 46.
Mr. Yeeshu Jain, Standing Counsel
with Ms. Jyoti Tyagi and Ms.
Manisha, Advocates.
Mr. Parveen Kumar, Advocate for R-
35.
Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak, Mr. Sunil
Kumar Jha, Mr. M.S. Akhtar and Mr.
Reini Violet Tigga, Advocates for R-
47/ UOI.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TUSHAR RAO GEDELA

ORDER

15.02.2023

%



[The proceeding has been conducted through Hybrid mode]

1. In terms of the order dated 19.04.2022, let the appellant deposit the balance 25% of the decretal amount before this Court.
2. Ms. Garima Jindal, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Ms. Namrata Mukim, Standing Counsel for the appellant, submits that the Demand Draft in respect of the balance 25% is ready to be deposited and submits that the same can be deposited by tomorrow.
3. The appellant may deposit the balance 25% within two days from today.
4. List the matters on 13.09.2023.

LA.APP. 43/2022, LA.APP. 44/2022, LA.APP. 45/2022 & LA.APP. 46/2022

5. Ms. Jindal, learned counsel submits that she has been given to understand that in all these appeals, some of the respondents have died in the interregnum. Ms. Jindal seeks a direction be passed to the other respondents to give the details of the LRs of the deceased respondents
6. Mr. Jain, learned counsel appearing for the respondents submits that though the details have been given earlier, however, the same shall be given again within two days from today.
7. Mr. Jain, learned counsel submits that the copies of the appeal and the applications have not been served upon him, ostensibly, for the reason that the same have been sent on a wrong email address.
8. Learned counsel submits that the email addresses that Ms. Jindal is seeking is already a part of the cross-objections filed by Mr. Jain, which can be taken from there and the appeal as well as the applications, if any, filed by the appellant be served upon Mr. Jain and



other respondents.

9. Ms. Jindal submits that upon receiving the details of the LRs of the deceased respondent, the appellant shall forthwith file the appropriate application in that regard.

10. The appellant is directed to ensure the service of the appeal paper book along with all the applications and annexures of each of the respondents within two weeks from today.

11. Interim order(s) to continue.

TUSHAR RAO GEDELA, J

FEBRUARY 15, 2023/nd