



\$~103

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **RFA 112/2026, CM APPL. 6721/2026**

**SANJAY**

.....Appellant

Through: **Mr. Raajan Chawla and Ms. Lavanya  
Chadha, Advocates**

versus

**BALI RAM AND ANR**

.....Respondents

Through:

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA**

**ORDER**

%

**02.02.2026**

**CM APPL. 6722/2026**

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The Application stands disposed of.

**RFA 112/2026, CM APPL. 6721/2026 (stay)**

3. Appeal under Section 96 read with Order XLI of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (CPC) has been filed against the common judgment dated 29.11.2025 whereby the Suit for Partition filed by the Appellant has been dismissed while the Suit for recovery for Mandatory Injunction filed by the Respondents, has been decreed.
4. The Appeal RFA 104/2026 has already been filed against the Suit decreed in favour of the Respondents.
5. The present Appeal is against the judgment dismissing the Suit for Partition of the Appellant.
6. Present Appeal is supported with the Application 6721/2026 under



Order XLI Rule 5 CPC for Stay of the impugned judgment.

7. On filing PF, Notice be issued to the Respondents in the main Appeal and the Stay Application through ordinary electronic modes, returnable before the learned JR on 02.03.2026.

8. E-Trial Court Record be summoned on or before the next date of hearing.

**NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J**

**FEBRUARY 2, 2026**

*N*