



\$~74

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **MAT.APP.(F.C.) 36/2025, CM APPL. 4825/2025-Stay**

NEHA BAJAJ

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Shankar Rastogi and Mr. Aman
Kapoor, Advs.

versus

ANKUR CHAUDHARY

.....Respondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE REKHA PALLI

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

27.01.2025

%

CM APPL. 4826/2025-Exp

1. Allowed subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

MAT.APP.(F.C.) 36/2025, CM APPL. 4825/2025-Stay

3. The present appeal under Section 19 of the Family Courts Act, 1984 seeks to assail the order dated 12.12.2024 passed by the Principal Judge, Family Court, South West District, Dwarka, New Delhi ('learned Family Court') in HMA No.388/2013. Vide the impugned order, the learned Family Court has allowed the application preferred by the respondent/ father to meet the minor girl child, who is in the custody of the appellant, in the children's room, Dwarka Court Complex on first Saturday of every month between 3:00 PM to 4:00 PM.
4. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that one of the primary



grievances of the appellant is that the respondent is trying to embarrass not only the appellant but also the minor girl child and has deliberately shifted to the neighbourhood of the appellant where she is happily living with her parents. Furthermore, the minor girl child does not want to meet the respondent/ father which aspect the learned Family Court has failed to appreciate.

5. Upon the appellant taking steps, issue notice to the respondent through all permissible modes, returnable on 30.04.2025.

6. Reply, if any, be filed within four weeks. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within two weeks thereafter.

7. The operation of the impugned order will remain stayed till the next date.

REKHA PALLI, J

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

JANUARY 27, 2025

bh