



\$~32

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.REV.P. 86/2023 & CRL.M.A. 2517/2023**

**MAHESH CHAND AGGARWAL** .....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Adit S. Pujari, Mr.  
Manvendra Singh  
Sekhawat & Mr. Siddharth  
Kashal, Advs.

versus

**DIRECTORATE OF ENFORCEMENT THROUGH ITS  
ASSISTANT DIRECTOR** .....Respondent

Through: Ms. Advocate (appearance  
not given) proxy counsel  
for Mr. Anupam S.  
Sharma, Special counsel  
for ED.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN**

**ORDER**

%

**14.10.2025**

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it is an undisputed fact that the petitioner was never in possession of proceeds of crime. He submits that even as per the allegation, the proceeds of crime were generated some time around the year 2007 and the allegation against the petitioner was that he helped the main accused in committing the crime and cheating the bank.
2. He submits that undisputedly the petitioner at no stage was beneficiary of the cheated amount and therefore, could not have been charged for offences under the Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002 since the scheduled offence was added in the Schedule after the year 2009.
3. Proxy counsel for Directorate of Enforcement requests for



an adjournment stating that main counsel, who has to address arguments, is not available.

4. On her request, list on 27.11.2025.
5. Interim order, if any, to continue.

**AMIT MAHAJAN, J**

**OCTOBER 14, 2025**

**“SK”**