



\$~4

* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ CRL.M.C. 667/2024 & CRL.M.A. 2741/2024

HEMANT

..... Petitioner

Through: Adv. Krishan Kumar, Adv.
Shivam Bedi & Adv.
Gargi Singh.

versus

CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Anupam S Sharma
(SPP- CBI) with Mr.
Prakarsh Airan, MS.
Harpreet Kalsi, Mr.
Abhishek Batra, Mr.
Ripudaman Sharma, Mr.
Vashisht Rao & Mr.
Syamantak Modgill, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

04.03.2024

CRL.M.A. 2742/2024 (for exemption)

1. Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

CRL.M.C. 667/2024 & CRL.M.A. 2741/2024

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the charges have been framed against the petitioner noting that the IP addresses used in creation of the fraudulent sanction orders were allotted to the petitioner.
4. The learned Trial Court also noted in paragraphs no. 30 and 31 of order dated 10.10.2023 that the hard drive, which was seized by the CBI during the course of investigation, pertain to the computer system which was in possession of the petitioner.



He submits that the said observations are factually incorrect. He submits that the CBI had not placed any material on record to substantiate the said allegation.

5. The petitioner during the relevant period was not posted in the said department from where the alleged fraud took place.
6. Issue notice.
7. The learned counsel for the respondent accepts notice.
8. Reply, if any, be filed before the next date of hearing.
9. List on 25.07.2024.

AMIT MAHAJAN, J

MARCH 4, 2024
“SK”