



\$~107

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 88/2025**

RAVINDER KUMAR JAIN

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Vikas Jain and Ms. Gargi
Vashisth, Advocates along with
petitioner in person

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY & ORS.Respondents

Through: Ms. Manika Tripathy, SC for DDA
with Mr. Saksham Singh, Advocate
(through VC)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MINI PUSHKARNA

ORDER

%

15.07.2026

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, along with the petitioner who appears in person, draws the attention of this Court to the judgment dated 25th March, 2026, passed by this Court in the present contempt proceedings, wherein, the following directions had been issued:

“xxx xxx xxx

9. DDA is directed to proceed with the allotment in favour of the petitioner by applying the rate as prevalent on 28.10.2016 after demanding the requisite amount from the petitioner. Let the same be done expeditiously. Let the allotment process be completed expeditiously, and in any event, within a period of 2 months from today.

10. The petitioner categorically undertakes that he shall pay the amount as may be demanded by applying the rate as on 28.10.2016, within a period of 4 weeks from the date on which



the demand is raised upon the petitioner.

11. In case the requisite allotment is impeded for reasons attributable to DDA, the same shall be construed as wilful disobedience on the part of the DDA entailing action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

xxx xxx xxx”

2. The petitioner, who appears in person, submits that nothing has been done in pursuance to the judgment dated 25th March, 2026 in the present proceedings.
3. Accordingly, let the Director of Delhi Development Authority (“DDA”) remain present in the Court on the next date of hearing.
4. List on 30th September, 2026 in the Top Ten Matters in the *Advance List*.

MINI PUSHKARNA, J

JULY 15, 2026

ak