



\$~31

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 6705/2019

JAGVINDER SINGH

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Rishi Manchanda, Mr.Siddharth
Mullick and Mr.Arun Kumar, Advs.

versus

SARASWATI CONSTRUCTION CO

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Faiyaz Hasan, Adv.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH KUMAR SHARMA

ORDER

18.05.2022

%

Learned counsel for the respondent states at BAR, that out of cost of Rs.20,000/- imposed vide order dated 28th February, 2020, 10th September, 2020 and 25th February, 2021. A sum of Rs.5,000/- has been deposited with the Delhi High Court Legal Services Committee and remaining cost of Rs. 10,000/- has been paid to workman. Learned counsel for the petitioner has accepted that Rs.10,000/- has been paid to the workman.

An additional litigation expenses were also awarded to the workman by this Court vide order dated 7th February, 2022.

Learned counsel for the respondent has very fairly stated at BAR that litigation expenses for sum of Rs.10,000/- and remaining cost of Rs.5,000/- shall be paid to the workman within a week.

This Court expect that the respondent shall comply with the statement made by the learned counsel at Bar.

The counter affidavit has already been filed.



Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he has filed the rejoinder but it seems to have been lying under objection. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that delay in filing of the rejoinder may be condoned. The delay is condoned. Let the rejoinder be brought on record.

The matter be listed on 28th September, 2022.

DINESH KUMAR SHARMA, J

MAY 18, 2022

Pallavi