



\$~39 to 43

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.REV.P. 972/2018, CRL.M.A. 35671/2018

YOGESH SHAH

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Lakshita Negi, Adv. (through VC)

versus

DIRECTORATE OF REVENUE INTELLIGENCERespondent

Through: Counsel (appearance not given)

40

+ CRL.REV.P. 1154/2018

D.P. SAXENA

.....Petitioner

Through: Counsel (appearance not given)

versus

DIRECTORATE OF REVENUE INTELLIGENCERespondent

Through: Counsel (appearance not given)

41

+ CRL.REV.P. 401/2019

SULTAN ANSARI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. S.S. Das, Adv. (through VC)

versus

DRI

.....Respondent

Through: Counsel (appearance not given)

42

+ CRL.REV.P. 538/2019, CRL.M.A. 9678/2019

P P S CHAWLA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mikhil Sharda, Adv. (through VC)

versus

DRI

.....Respondent

Through: Counsel (appearance not given)

43

+ CRL.REV.P. 542/2019, CRL.M.A. 9718/2019



I P S CHAWLA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Mikhil Sharda, Adv. (through
VC)

versus

DRI

.....Respondent

Through: Counsel (appearance not given)

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

09.07.2026

%

1. Learned counsels for the parties seek adjournment.
2. Considering that the present petitions were filed way back in the years 2018 and 2019 against the order on framing of charges, as a last opportunity, renotify on 11.09.2026.
3. In the meanwhile, learned counsel for the DRI in CRL.REV.P.538/2019 and CRL.REV.P.542/2019 seeks, and is granted, a period of *one week* for supplying a copy of the reply to learned counsel for the petitioner.
4. A copy of this order be kept in all the connected matters.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

JULY 9, 2026/bh