



\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **MAC.APP. 256/2019 & CM APPL. 7513/2018 [Stay]**
M/S UTTARANCHAL PEST CONTROLAppellant
Through: Appearance not given.

versus

NAWAL SINGH & ORS(M/S ORIENTAL INSURANCE CO LTD)
.....Respondents
Through: Mr. Janender Kumar Chumbak, Ms.
Radhika and Mr. Rajiv Verma,
Advocates for R-1 to 3.
Mr. JPN Shahi, Advocate for R-5.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE TARA VITASTA GANJU

ORDER
27.05.2025

%

CM APPL. 7515/2019 [Delay in filing the Appeal]

1. This is an Application seeking condonation of delay of 237 days in filing the Appeal.
2. Although, the Application states 237 days of delay, learned Counsel for the Appellant submits that the delay is 326 days.
3. The delay is opposed by learned Counsel for Respondent No.5. He submits that no sufficient cause for the delay has been shown.
4. Let an Affidavit be filed by the learned Counsel for the Appellant setting out the correct number of days of delay.
- 4.1 The Registry is directed to place on record a report in this behalf as well.



5. List on 13.10.2025.

**MAC.APP. 256/2019 & CM APPL. 7513/2018 [Stay] & 7516/2019
[Delay in re-filing the Appeal]**

6. Learned Counsel for the Appellant and Respondent No.5 seek and are granted time to file their respective written synopsis, not exceeding three pages each, at least three days before the next date of hearing, along with the compilation of judgments, if any, they wish to rely upon.

6.1 All judgments sought to be relied upon shall be filed with an index which also sets out the relevant paragraph numbers and the proposition of law that it sets forth.

7. At request, list on 13.10.2025.

TARA VITASTA GANJU, J

MAY 27, 2025/pa

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)