



\$~18

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 736/2023, CM APPL. 2802/2023, CM APPL. 44637/2025**
SWATI YADAVPetitioner

Through: Ms. Shalini Nair, Adv.

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY AND ORSRespondents
Through: Ms. Kritika Gupta & Ms. Vidushi
Singhania, Advs.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

% **ORDER**
27.03.2026

CM APPL. 39157/2023

1. This is an application seeking to amend the petition with the following reliefs:-

“(i) Issue a writ, order or direction calling for records pertaining to the e-auction of Plot No. 57, Site No.1, New Rajender Nagar, New Delhi,

(ii) Issue a writ of certiorari or any other writ, order or direction for quashing and setting aside clause 2.4.1. and 2.4.2. of the DDA document for 17th Phase e-auction (2022) of residential properties on ‘as is where is basis’,

(iii) Issue a writ of certiorari quashing e-mail dated 06.01.2023 rejecting the petitioner’s bid,



(iv) Issue a writ of mandamus directing the Respondents to issue Letter of Intent to the petitioner for Plot no. 57, Site No.1, New Rajender Nagar being the highest bidder,
(v) Issue a writ of certiorari or any other writ, order or direction for quashing and setting aside Circular dated 28.06.2019 issued by the Respondents,
(vi) Pass any other order which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit."

2. Ms. Nair, learned counsel for the petitioner, states that when the petition was filed, the petitioner sought quashing of email dated 05.01.2023 which rejected the petitioner's bid despite the petitioner being the highest bidder.
3. In the counter affidavit, the respondents/DDA has mentioned the aspect of deciding the threshold amount after the bids are received in auction titled as streamlining of procedure for processing of bids.
4. Since the petitioner was unaware of the same and became aware only on filing of the counter affidavit, the petitioner is now seeking to amend the petition to incorporate the challenge to the said guidelines.
5. I am of the view that the petitioner is not changing the prayers in the petition and is only seeking additional relief based on the counter affidavit filed by the respondents. The amendments are necessary for effective adjudication of the issues raised in the petition.
6. Accordingly, the application is allowed.
7. The amended writ petition is taken on record.
8. Since nobody was appearing for DDA, Ms. Gupta who was present in



Court today has been requested to accept notice and will file a counter affidavit to the amended writ petition within four weeks from today.

9. Rejoinder be filed before the next date of hearing.
10. List on 21.08.2026.

JASMEET SINGH, J

MARCH 27, 2026/NG