



\$~15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.A. 71/2026 & CRL.M.(BAIL) 118/2026**
GHAFFAR ALIAS GUDDUAppellant
Through: Ms. Inderjeet Sidhu, Adv.

versus

THE STATE GOVT OF NCT DELHIRespondent
Through: Mr Aashneet Singh, APP for State.
SI Juli Goswami, PS Jamia Nagar
Dr. Teeka Ram Naval, Advocate
(DHCLSC) with Mr. Musheer Khan
and Mr Hardik Singh, Advocates for
Victim.
Mr. B. Badrinath, DSLSA.

CORAM:
JUSTICE PRATHIBA M. SINGH
JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER
% **08.07.2026**

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. Insofar as compensation is concerned, Mr. B. Badrinath, Id. Counsel appearing for the Delhi State Legal Services Authority (hereinafter, 'DSLSA') submits that the total compensation of Rs.8 lakhs i.e., Rs.1,25,000/- at the interim stage and thereafter, Rs.6,75,000/- has already been paid.
3. The DSLSA is accordingly discharged. DSLA need not appear in this matter, unless ordered otherwise.
4. None appears for the Victim. Let the IO serve the victim once again and enquire if she needs legal aid counsel. If so, then the DHCLSC may nominate a legal aid counsel to represent the victim.



5. Ms. Sidhu, Id. Counsel for the Appellant seeks an adjournment in order to file an affidavit of past criminal antecedents of the Appellant.
6. Accordingly, let an affidavit be filed by the Appellant in terms of the direction passed in ***Practice Direction No. 178/Rules/DHC*** dated 18th March, 2026 issued by this Court, pursuant to the decision of the Supreme Court in ***Zeba Khan Vs. State of U.P & Others, Criminal Appeal No. 825/2026***. In the event that the Appellant is in custody and is unable to file the aforesaid affidavit, the next of kin/authorised representative of the Appellant shall file the same, in terms of ***Practice Direction No. 183/Rules/DHC*** dated 26th May, 2026.
7. List on 9th September, 2026.

PRATHIBA M. SINGH, J.

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J.

JULY 8, 2026

Rahul/Ck