



\$~18

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 1118/2015**

RECKITT BENCKISER(INDIA) LIMITED Plaintiff

Through: Ms. Nancy Roy, Advocate

versus

THE ADVERTISING STANDARDS COUNCIL OF INDIA

..... Defendant

Through: Mr . Kushagara Kr. Advocate

CORAM:

**SH. RAKESH PANDIT (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)**

ORDER

% **07.05.2018**

Vakalatnama on behalf of the defendant has been filed.

The plaintiff has filed another list of witnesses as well as affidavit in evidence.

Today the matter is listed for plaintiff's evidence. However, learned counsel for the plaintiff seeks an adjournment on the ground that due to some mis-communication with the witness, the witness is not available today. Adjournment sought is not opposed by the opposite side. Heard. Request allowed. In the interest of justice, the matter stands adjourned.



Relist for plaintiff's evidence on 10.12.2018.

The registry is directed to place the list of witnesses of the plaintiff in the proper file.

SH. RAKESH PANDIT (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

MAY 07, 2018
hk