



\$~25

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 89/2024**

ARADHANA SRIVASTAVA

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Sumitra Choudhary, Mr. M.K. Raghav, Ms. Nitya Sharma, Ms. Bhagya Shree and Mr. Aditya Choudhary, Advs.

versus

VIVEK VIRENDRA SINGH

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Zeba Khair and Ms. Sitwat Nabi, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER

% **13.05.2025**

1. *Ms. Zeba Khair*, Counsel appearing for the respondent, along with the respondent who is on VC (though he was directed to be present in Court), states that she is filing a tabulation of the amounts which have been paid towards maintenance - since the order of *Rs.90,000/-* per month was *inclusive* of various expenditures which have to be incurred on account of food, clothing, boarding, lodging, transportation, extra-curricular activities and expenses towards alternate accommodation, medical and other ancillary expenses.
2. She has already filed this tabulation and given a copy of the same to the counsel for the petitioner.
3. In the meantime, she states that the orders passed by this Court in *para* 7 of 19th March 2025 could not be complied, since they have paid in excess of



what had been directed towards maintenance.

4. This is refuted by *Ms. Sumitra Choudhary*, Counsel for the petitioner, who states that the statements which have been made on the affidavit (*which is not on record of this Court*) are *ex facie* false.

5. In any event, notwithstanding the respective contentions of the parties, the directions of this Court ought to be complied with and *Rs.5 Lacs* shall be deposited within the next four weeks with the Registry of this Court, which will be kept in an interest-bearing fixed deposit.

6. This is notwithstanding and without prejudice to respective contentions of the parties.

7. List on 08th September 2025.

8. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

MAY 13, 2025/MK/na