



\$~57

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.A. 65/2026, CRL.M.A. 2877/2026, CRL.M.(BAIL) 190/2026

AVINASH KUMAR @ LANGRA & ORS.Appellants

Through: Mr. Kamal Kishore Yadav, Mr.
Roopender Singh Yadav and Mr.
M.K. Parvez, Advocates.

versus

STATE OF NCT OF DELHIRespondent

Through: Mr. Satish Kumar, APP with Ms.
Upasna Bakshi and Mr. Bhanu
Pratap Singh, Advocates with SI
Satyender, PS: SP Badli

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

% **16.03.2026**

CRL.M.(BAIL) 105/2026

1. Learned counsel for the appellants, once again, presses for suspension of sentence, *primarily* since the maximum sentence awarded to the appellants is *two years*.
2. However, since the Nominal Roll of the appellants filed on record is not in tune with the order on sentence dated 18.12.2025, inasmuch as the maximum sentence awarded to the appellants has been wrongly mentioned therein as *three years*, let the fresh Nominal Roll of all the appellants be called from the concerned jail authorities within a period of *one week*.
3. In the interregnum, the bail granted by the learned Trial Court *vide* order dated 18.12.2025 is extended till the next date of hearing on the



same terms and conditions as contained in the said order.

4. As such, renotify on 25.03.2026.
5. A copy of this order be sent to concerned Jail Superintendent.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J.

MARCH 16, 2026/So