$~83

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\+ W.P.(C) 607/2026 & CM APPL. 2980/2026

KATHIKA SINGHA RAY .....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Subhojit Saha, Mr. Nirnimesh Dube, Mr. Fasiur Rahman, Ms. Ruchira Chatterjee, Mr. Debdeep Banerjee, Advs.

versus

THE DIRECTOR GENERAL, NATIONAL TESTING AGENCY (NTA) & ORS. .....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sanjay Khanna, Standing Counsel with Ms. Pragya Bhushan, Ms. Vilakshana Dayma, Ms. Anshu Kumari, Mr. Akshat Chauhan, Advs.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

O R D E R

% 16.01.2026

CM.APPL. 2981/2026

Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

The application is disposed of.

W.P.(C) 607/2026

1. This is a writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following reliefs:

a) Issue a Writ of mandamus directing the Respondents to forthwith investigate and rectify the discrepancies in the Petitioner's NEET-UG 2025 Score card and to re-issue a correct and authenticated Score card as per the

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 22/01/2026 at 13:19:42

Petitioner's actual OMR evaluation;

b) *Direct the Respondents to adjudicate upon the Petitioner's pending Review Application forthwith and communicate the outcome of to the Petitioner within a fixed time frame;*

c) *Direct the Respondents to ensure that the Petitioner's rightful MBBS admission at AIIMS, New Delhi is protected and that the Petitioner is not deprived of her allotted seat due to arbitrary and negative acts of the authorities;*

d) *Pass an interim direction restraining the Respondents from finalizing the admission process at AIIMS, New Delhi without keeping one MBBS seat reserved for the Petitioner pending adjudication of the present Writ Petition;*

e) *Award costs of the present proceedings in favour of the Petitioner;…"*

2. Mr. Shah, learned counsel for the petitioner, states that the petitioner has been given 2 contradictory scorecards by the respondent No. 1 leading to denial of seat at the All India Institute of Medical Sciences. One of the generated scorecard shows the petitioner having scored 667 marks out of 720 and the other scorecard shows 49 marks out of 720.

3. For the said reasons, issue notice.

4. Mr. Khanna, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of the respondent No. 1 and states that this is a case of forgery committed by

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 22/01/2026 at 13:19:42

the petitioner.

5. For the said reasons, let the respondent No. 1 file a detailed reply.

6. Let the notice be issued to respondent Nos. 2, 3 and 4 through all modes, including electronic, on the petitioner taking steps, returnable on 24.03.2026.

7. Rejoinder, if necessary, be filed before the next date of hearing.

JASMEET SINGH, J

JANUARY 16, 2026/sp

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 22/01/2026 at 13:19:42