



\$~32

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 156/2017**

ZENNER INTERNATIONAL GMBH & CO KG AND ANR

..... Plaintiff

Through: Mr.Abhimanyu Mahajan, Mr.Saurabh
Seth, Advocate

versus

ANAND ZENNER COMPANY PVT LTD

..... Defendant

Through: Mr.Kartike Anand, Advocate

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. PURSHOTAM PATHAK
(DHJS)**

ORDER

%

06.10.2022

Ld. Counsel for the defendant seeks adjournment on the ground that he has to go somewhere immediately due to some urgency about which he has already intimated to the Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff.

Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff submits that he is not opposing the adjournment considering the personal difficulty of the Ld. Counsel for the defendant.

Considering the personal difficulty of the Ld. Counsel for the defendant and no objection of Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff, matter is adjourned.

However, it is made clear that on the next date of hearing no adjournment shall be granted to either of the parties.



PW-1 Mr.G.C.Narang who is present with documents is discharged unexamined.

Put up for PE on 17.10.2022.

**PURSHOTAM PATHAK (DHJS),
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

OCTOBER 6, 2022/sk

[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)