



\$~30 & 31

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.REV.P. 63/2019**

AMIT

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Shanker, Adv.

versus

STATE

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Aman Usman, APP for the State
with SI Lalit Kumar, PS Bindapur.

31

+ **CRL.REV.P. 164/2019**

ARUN @ VICKY

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Charanpreet Singh, Adv.

versus

STATE

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Aman Usman, APP for the State
with SI Lalit Kumar, PS Bindapur.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER

%

10.07.2024

1. Counsel for the petitioner has contended in support of the revision petition, challenging the impugned judgment dated 02nd July, 2018, whereby, criminal appeal was dismissed against conviction and order of sentence in FIR No.608/2013 PS Bindapur under Sections 392/411/34 IPC. The counsel for the petitioner appearing for Legal Aid essentially stated that it is a case alleging apprehension of the accused at the time of the robbery. PW-1, PW-2



and PW-3 have not given categorical testimony to identify the accused. Moreover, PW-1's testimony also has a contradiction, in that he was able to identify the accused. Moreover, the recovery of the wallet and mobile phone of the complainant is also not supported by any independent witness and the seizure memo has been witnessed by the complainant and the IO.

2. The APP for the State seeks time to examine these aspects.
3. List on 09th October, 2024.
4. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

JULY 10, 2024/MK