



\$~14

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(OS) 1900/2015, CCP(O) 66/2019, CCP(O) 77/2019, CCP(O) 56/2020, I.As. 1925/2019, 2480/2019, 8708/2019, 17155/2019, 17156/2019, 3629/2020, 3631/2020, 12425/2020, 1241/2021, 1242/2021, 1899/2021, 1900/2021 & 16526/2022**

MANIKA MADAN

..... Plaintiff

Through: Ms Payal Jain along with Mr. Sumit Kumar and Mr. Mohammed Naved
Advts. (M. 9868045019)

versus

MAMTA NAGPAL & ANR

..... Defendants

Through: Mr. Nivesh Sharma, Adv. for D-2 (M. 9899979242)

CORAM:

JUSTICE PRATHIBA M. SINGH

ORDER

% **24.01.2024**

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. The present suit *inter alia* relates to three immovable and three movable properties, including the property situated at West Patel Nagar, New Delhi, which is stated co-owned equally by the Plaintiff-Manika Madan and the Defendants- Mamta Nagpal and Baruna Madan.

3. Vide order dated 20th October, 2023, this Court directed as follows:

“1. Learned counsel for the parties as also the husband of the defendant no.1 jointly submit that mediation talks between the parties are still ongoing. They, therefore, pray that hearing be deferred.

2. At this stage, learned counsel for the defendant no.2 prays that since the defendant no.2 has paid the advance property tax towards the two suit



properties, the plaintiff and the defendant no. 1 be directed to pay their respective shares.

3. Taking into account that the defendant no.2 has paid the property tax on behalf of the plaintiff and the defendant no.1, as well, the plaintiff and the defendant no.1 will ensure that their respective shares in the property tax towards the two suit properties are paid to the defendant no.2 within four weeks.”

4. The ld. Counsel for Defendant No. 2 has placed before the Court an email dated 9th November, 2023 informing Defendant No. 1 that the share of property tax in terms of order dated 20th October, 2023 would be Rs.20,175/- which is to be paid by Defendant No.1. However, it is submitted that the Defendant No. 1 instead of paying the same has asked for further details. It is made clear that Defendant No. 1 shall comply with the order dated 20th October, 2023 within a period of two weeks and pay the necessary sum.

5. Defendant No.1's son seeks an adjournment on the ground that the ld. Counsel for the Defendant No. 1 had to rush for a family emergency. Defendant No. 1's son has appeared in person virtually.

6. List on 9th April, 2024.

PRATHIBA M. SINGH, J.

JANUARY 24, 2024

mr/dn