



\$~15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(OS) 1900/2015**
MANIKA MADAN Plaintiff

Through: Ms. Payal Jain, Adv

versus

MAMTA NAGPAL & ANR Defendants

Through: Mr. Vikram Singh, Adv for D-1
 Mr. Mansi Gupta, Adv for D-2

CORAM:
SH. DEEPAK WASON (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)

% **ORDER**
 20.09.2019

It is submitted by learned counsel for the defendant no. 1 that IAs no. 6775/2019, 6776/2019 have already been disposed of and IA no. 5623/2019 has become infructuous. Registry is directed not to show these IAs in the cause list.

IA no. 8708/2019 (application under Order VIII Rule 1 A read with Section 151 CPC moved by defendant no. 1 for allowing the handwriting and signature expert's opinion and reports in regard of WILL dated 27.02.2012) and IA no. 8707/2019 (application under Order VIII Rule 1 A read with Section 151 CPC for construing leave to file Sur-Rejoinder means Leave to file documents).

It is held in the judgment passed by the Hon'ble High Court of



Delhi in CS (COMM) 95/2016 dated 22.11.2018 titled as “Max Hypermarket India Private Limited Vs. Curo India Private Limited” that Registrar/Joint Registrar is not empowered to grant leave to a party to produce its own document beyond the stage prescribed thereof.

Accordingly, matter be placed before Hon’ble Court for date already fixed i.e **24.09.2019** for further directions.

CCP (O) 66/2019 (under Section 11 & 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act).

It is submitted by learned counsel for the plaintiff as well as learned counsel for the defendant no. 2 that they have not received the copy. On the other hand, it is submitted by learned counsel for the defendant no. 1 that he has already taken steps in terms of order dated 13.09.2019, passed by Hon’ble Court.

At joint request, matter be placed before Hon’ble Court for date already fixed i.e **24.09.2019**.

**DEEPAK WASON (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

SEPTEMBER 20, 2019/ym

[Click here to check corrigendum](#)