



\$~13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 1900/2015**

MANIKA MADAN Plaintiff

Through: Ms. Payal Jain Advocate

versus

MAMTA NAGPAL & ANR Defendant

Through: Mr. Vikram Singh Advocate for D-1

CORAM:

**SH. RAKESH PANDIT (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)**

ORDER

% **08.03.2018**

IA No. 2871/2018 (under Section 5 of Limitation Act by plaintiff)

As per office report, notice could not be issued as plaintiff has not filed the process fee. However, learned counsel for the defendant no.1 accepts notice and submits that he has not received the copy of the application. The copy of the same has been supplied by learned counsel for the plaintiff to him today in the court. After going through the same, learned counsel for the defendant no.1 submits that he does not wish to file any reply and the application may be allowed but subject to cost. Accordingly, the application is allowed and replies to the *IA Nos. 14956-14958/2017 (under Order, 7 Rule 11 CPC, under Order 12 Rule 6 CPC and under Order 8 Rule 9 CPC)*



are taken on record subject to cost of Rs. 5000/- which shall be paid to the defendant no.1 through counsel.

The matter be placed before the Hon'ble Court for further directions on the date fixed.

SH. RAKESH PANDIT (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

MARCH 08, 2018
hk