



\$~101

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 534/2023**

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHIPetitioner

Through: Mr. Arun Birbal, Advocate

versus

SUBASH YADAVRespondent

Through: Mr. Anuj Aggawal, Mr. Shubham
Bahl and Mr. Pradeep Kumar,
Advocates

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

ORDER

% **17.09.2025**

CM APPL. 22053/2025 (clarification of order dated 12.11.2024)

1. Vide order dated 12.11.2024, CM. APPL.35645/2023 was allowed, thereby directing the petitioner to pay to the respondent under Section 17B of the Industrial Disputes Act, an amount equivalent to the minimum wages payable to the respondent/workman who was employed as *chowkidar (unskilled workman)*. The present application has been filed on behalf of respondent/workman seeking clarification as to whether the said amount has to be paid with effect from the date of the said order or the date of the impugned award.

2. Learned counsel for respondent contends that in view of a judgment of coordinate bench of this Court in the case titled ***DTC vs Inderjeet Singh***, MANU/DE/1088/2008, the amount payable under Section 17B of the Act



has to be from the date of the impugned award. Learned counsel for petitioner MCD in all fairness does not dispute the legal proposition.

3. Therefore, the application is allowed and it is made clear that the amount payable under Section 17B of the Act shall be so payable with effect from the date of the impugned award.

4. List before the Roster Bench on 15.10.2025.

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

SEPTEMBER 17, 2025/as