



\$~7 to 9

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 271/2003**

NARENDRA PARASRAM MIRANIPetitioner
Through: **Ms. Maneesha Dhir and Ms. Shambhavi Dwevedi, Advocates.**
versus

UOI & ORS.Respondents
Through: **Mr. Ruchir Mishra, Mr. Mukesh Kr. Tiwari and Ms. Reba Jena Mishra, Advocates for UOI.**

AND

+ **W.P.(C) 259/2011, CM APPL. 469/2011**

RAVINDER KUMAR SHARMAPetitioner
Through: **Ms. Maneesha Dhir and Ms. Shambhavi Dwevedi, Advocates.**
versus

UOI AND ORSRespondents
Through: **Mr. Vikram Jetly, CGSC with Ms. Shreya Jetly, Advocate for UOI.**

AND

+ **W.P.(C) 579/2011, CM APPL. 1230/2011**

SUTISH KUMARI SHARMAPetitioner
Through: **Ms. Maneesha Dhir and Ms. Shambhavi Dwevedi, Advocates.**
versus

UOI AND ORSRespondents
Through: **Mr. Vikram Jetly, CGSC with Ms.**



Shreya Jetly, Advocate for UOI.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER
14.07.2025

%

1. Ms. Dhir, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that matters involving a similar issue, i.e., whether the show cause notice under Section 6(1) of SAFEMA ought to carry '*reason to believe*' which shows the nexus between the tainted funds and acquired property, are coming up for consideration before this Court on 30.07.2025. She also refers to the judgment dated 27.10.2024 passed by the Division Bench of this Court in **LPA 531/2012** titled as Union of India & Ors vs. Kamal Kumar and submits that the aforesaid issue stands settled vide this decision.
2. In view of the above, renotify on 30.07.2025.
3. In the meantime, parties shall place on record revised written submissions alongwith relevant case law(s), if any.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

JULY 14, 2025

ga