



\$~31

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ W.P.(C) 6521/2017

RISHIPAL SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Puneet Kumar Verma, Adv.

versus

M/S DELHI TRANSPORT CORPORATION AND

ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mrs. Avnish Ahlawat, Standing Counsel for DTC along with Mr. Nitesh Kumar Singh, Ms. Laavanya Kaushik, Ms. Aliza Alam, Mr. Mohnish Sehrawat, Mr. Amitoj Chadha, Advs. for DTC.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER

%

24.04.2025

1. Written synopsis has been filed on behalf of both the parties.
2. Petitioner is aggrieved by inquiry not being conducted for dismissal which was, as per petitioner, punitive in character, reached on a conclusion of 'guilt'.
3. Respondent/DTC states that petitioner was a contractually engaged conductor and initial contract was for 89 days and was appointed through a fresh contract after the break and, therefore, inquiry was not necessitated.
4. List on 15th July 2025 at 03:30 P.M.
5. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

APRIL 24, 2025/ak/bp