



\$~38

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ RFA(OS) 34/2017

NEETA AGGARWAL Appellant

Through: Mr. Sudhir K. Makkar Sr. Adv
along with Ms. Saumya Gupta
Adv.

versus

SHASHI JINDAL Respondent

Through: Mr. Lalit gupta and Mr. Kritik
Verma, Advs.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH VAIDYANATHAN
SHANKAR

ORDER
14.10.2025

%

1. Apart from the present Appeal, cross-objections have already been filed by the Respondent.
2. The learned Single Judge has directed partition of the property through sale. However, the execution of decree has been deferred for a period of 10 years, out of which 08 years have already elapsed.
3. Learned counsel representing the Appellant submits that he is not challenging the correctness of the decree for partition, however, he is aggrieved by deferment of execution of the decree.
4. Whereas, learned counsel representing the Respondent agrees that the partition by metes and bounds is possible.
5. List for final disposal on 10.11.2025 in the Supplementary List.
6. Furthermore, no request for adjournment shall be entertained in



future.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

HARISH VAIDYANATHAN SHANKAR, J.

OCTOBER 14, 2025

s.godara/rgk