



\$~5

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(C) 6320/2014**
LAXMI NARAIN GUPTA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Gaurav Sarin, Sr Advocate with
Ms. Charul Sarin and Mr. Harish
Kumar, Advocates

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY & ORS

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Manika Tripathy, SC with
Mr. Gautam Yadav, Advocate for
DDA/R-1
Mr. Siddharth Panda, Mr. Ritank
Kumar and Mr. Anil Pandey,
Advocates for LAC/R-3
Ms. Namrata Mukim, SC with
Ms. Rupali Gupta, Advocates for
MCD

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIBHU BAKHRU
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY DIGPAUL

ORDER
27.01.2025

%

1. The learned Counsel for the respondent submits that he requires further time. He states that the officials are now engaged in Election Duty and requests that the present petition be listed thereafter.
2. Mr. Gaurav Sarin, the learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioner, submits that more than a year has elapsed since the respondent had sought time to produce documents to show that the possession of the subject land was taken over. He submits that there is only one document



showing that the possession of certain lands were taken over but that does not include the land belonging to the petitioner. He submits that the affidavit filed by DDA is also in conformity with the said submissions.

3. The learned counsel appearing for LAC submits that the possession proceedings were undertaken on more than one occasion. He submits that the documents have been found. However, the same are not readable, and efforts have been made to trace the copies of the same, which is taking some time.

4. The learned Counsel for DDA also disputes the contentions advanced by the petitioner.

5. In view of the above submissions, we deem it apposite to grant respondent yet another opportunity to produce the relevant documents evidencing that the possession of the subject land was taken over and that the compensation was paid.

6. We, however, clarify that if no such material is produced before the next date of hearing, we will, necessarily, have to proceed on the basis of the averments made by the petitioner.

7. List on 24.03.2025.

8. Interim orders, if any, to continue till the next date of hearing.

VIBHU BAKHRU, J

AJAY DIGPAUL, J

JANUARY 27, 2025

SK

Click here to check corrigendum, if any